

7

11

15

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

² Exhibit 1 to the March 25, 2022 Liss-Riordan Declaration.

This matter (referred to herein as the "Action") came before the Court for hearing on March 11, 2022 and April 6, 2022, pursuant to the Notice of Motion and Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, filed on October 12, 2021 by Plaintiffs. Named Plaintiffs and Defendant Postmates Inc. ("Postmates") seek approval of the Settlement.

On the basis of the proposed Third Amended Settlement Agreement ("the Settlement Agreement"), which is attached as Exhibit 1 to the October 12, 2021 Declaration of Shannon Liss-Riordan, all filings related to the present motion, including the "Supplmental Addendum" to the Third Amended Settlement Agreement¹ and the "Amendment" to the Third Amended Settlement Agreement², all filings related to the motion for preliminary approval in this action, all other papers filed in this action, and the arguments of counsel,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

- 1. Final Approval of the Settlement Agreement is granted. The terms of the Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate. Plaintiffs have satisfied the requirements for final approval of this class action settlement. The parties are directed to effectuate the Settlement Agreement according to its terms and this Order.
- 2. All terms used herein shall have the same meanings set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 3. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, C.C.P. § 664.6, and C.R.C. 3.769(h), the Court retains jurisdiction over Plaintiffs, all Settlement Class Members, and Defendants for the purposes of supervising the implementation, enforcement, construction, administration, and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement and this Order.
- 4. The Notice approved by this Court was distributed to the Settlement Class in compliance with this Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, dated August 12, 2021. The Notice provided to the Settlement Class met the requirements of due process and constituted the best notice practicable in the circumstances. Based on evidence

¹ Exhibit A to the October 12, 2021 Liss-Riordan Declaration.

and other material submitted in conjunction with the final approval hearing, notice to the class was adequate.

- 5. Four (4) Settlement Class Members objected to the proposed Settlement Agreement: Kendra Hopkins, Frederick Butler, Sophia Lopez, and Keeyana Hawkins. The Court has reviewed the objections to this Settlement. The objections are overruled.
- 6. Nine Hundred and eighty-two (982) class members of the proposed Settlement Class validly requested exclusion. Twenty-Five (25) class members were not provided with either email notice or mail notice. These class members, totaling 1,007, are not members of the Settlement Class certified below, shall be named in the Judgment as having opted out, shall receive no funds under this Order, and are not bound by the Judgment.
 - 7. For settlement purposes only, the following Settlement Class is finally certified:

 Any and all individuals who entered into an agreement with Postmates to use the

 Postmates platform as an independent contractor to offer delivery services to customers,
 and used the Postmates platform as an independent contractor courier to accept or
 complete at least one delivery in California between June 3, 2017, and January 1, 2021.
- 8. Jacob Rimler, Giovanni Jones, Dora Lee, Kellyn Timmerman, Joshua Albert, Melanie Anne Winns, Ralph John Hickey, Jr., Steven Alvarado, Kristie Logan, Shericka Vincent, Damone Brown, Arsen Altounian, and Wendy Santana are confirmed as class representatives of the Settlement Class.
 - 9. Lichten & Liss-Riordan, P.C. is confirmed as Class Counsel.
- 10. For settlement purposes only, the proposed Settlement Class meets the requirements for certification under C.C.P. § 382. Specifically, for settlement purposes: (1) the proposed Settlement Class is numerous and ascertainable; (2) there are predominant common questions of law or fact; (3) Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the members of the proposed Settlement Class; (4) Plaintiffs have fairly and adequately protected the interests of the Settlement Class Members; (5) Class Counsel is qualified to serve as counsel for Plaintiffs

13

and the Settlement Class; and (6) a class action is superior to other methods to efficiently adjudicate this controversy through settlement.

11. Upon the Effective Date as defined in the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiffs and the certified Settlement Class, which excludes all of those who opted out, release all Released Claims against the Released Parties. The Released Claims are defined in the Settlement Agreement as follows:

Any and all present and past claims, actions, demands, causes of action, suits, debts, guarantees, obligations, damages, penalties, rights or liabilities, of any nature and description whatsoever, known or unknown, existing or potential, recognized now or hereafter, contingent or accrued, expected or unexpected, pursuant to any theory of recovery (including but not limited to those based in contract or tort, common law or equity, federal, state, or local law, statute, ordinance, or regulation, and for claims for compensatory, consequential, punitive or exemplary damages, statutory damages, penalties, interest, attorneys' fees, costs, or disbursements) that are based on or reasonably related to the claims alleged in or that could have been alleged in the Rimler, Second Amended Complaint, and all misclassification claims, and specifically including: claims pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. (only for those Settlement Class Members who submit a valid and timely Claim Form); California Labor Code sections 132a, 201-204, 206.5, 207, 208, 210-214, 216, 218, 218.5, 218.6, 221-224, 225.5, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 226.8, 227, 227.3, 245-249, 351, 353, 432.5, 450, 510, 512, 551- 552, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, 1194.3, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2753, 2802, 2804; the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"), California Labor Code section 2698 et seq.; California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5; California Code of Regulations, title 8, sections 11010 and 11040; Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders; California Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq.; and any other similar state, federal, local, or common law, statute, regulation, or ordinance for unpaid wages, minimum wages, regular wages, tips, overtime wages (including but not limited to calculation of the correct overtime or regular rate), working more than six days in seven, expense reimbursement, wage statements, payroll recordkeeping, reporting time, improper deduction of wages, failure to provide workers' compensation insurance, meal periods, rest breaks, sick leave, final pay, penalties for timely payment of wages upon discharge, waiting time penalties, PAGA penalties, unfair business practices, all claims arising out of or relating to the statutory causes of action described herein, restitution, interest, costs and expenses, attorneys' fees, declaratory relief, injunctive relief, liquidated damages, exemplary or punitive damages, civil penalties, equitable remedies, and/or pre- or post- judgment interest at any time during the Settlement Period.

12.

Attorneys' General Act of 2004 ("PAGA") claims under the Final Judgment for all claims from anytime between June 3, 2017, and January 1, 2021.

13. The only Settlement Class Members entitled to payment pursuant to this Order are Participating Settlement Class Members, who submitted timely and valid claims.

from the Settlement, are bound by the settlement and release their Labor Code Private

All Settlement Class Members, regardless of whether they have been excluded

- 14. Payments to Class Counsel in the amount of \$8,960,000 for attorneys' fees and costs are approved.
- 15. Payments of service awards in the amount of \$5,000 each to Jacob Rimler, Giovanni Jones, Dora Lee, Kellyn Timmerman, Joshua Albert, Melanie Anne Winns, Ralph John Hickey, Jr., Steven Alvarado, Kristie Logan, Shericka Vincent, Damone Brown, Arsen Altounian, and Wendy Santana are approved for their service as class representatives.
- 16. Payment in the amount of \$1,079,553 to Simpluris, Inc. is approved for the costs of administering the settlement.
- 17. \$4,000,000 of the settlement proceeds are allocated to resolution of the PAGA claims, of which 75 percent (\$3,000,000), is to be disbursed to the Labor Workforce and Development Agency and the remaining 25 percent (\$1,000,000) is to be distributed to the Participating Settlement Class Members in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 18. Legal Aid at Work is designated as the cy pres beneficiary. If the provisions in the Settlement Agreement triggering a cy pres distribution are satisfied, the cy pres distribution shall be carried out in compliance with the Settlement Agreement and C.C.P. § 384.
- 19. \$17,655,447 is available for distribution to the Class. Following distribution of the Individual Settlement Payments to Participating Settlement Class Members, any Settlement Class Member who received a check for more than \$100 that remains uncashed more than 60 days after distribution will receive a reminder to cash their check. All funds not claimed prior to the Void Date (i.e. all funds from uncashed checks) shall be redistributed to the Settlement Class Members who received and cashed their Individual Settlement Payments. These

unclaimed funds shall be redistributed pursuant to the same formula described in Paragraph 5.7 of the Settlement Agreement. These residual funds will only be distributed to Settlement Class Members for whom this second payment would be at least \$50. The value of any uncashed checks following this residual distribution will be donated on a cy pres basis to Legal Aid at Work.

- 20. Each of the payments identified above, and the payment of compensation to the Participating Settlement Class Members, shall be made in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 21. On or before December 30, 2022, Class Counsel shall file a final report with this Court stating the final and complete distribution of all funds in this Order. The report shall be supported by an admissible declaration.
- 22. Notice of final judgment shall be provided to the Settlement Class by posting this Order and the final judgment on the administrator's website for a period of not less than 60 days from the date the judgment is entered.
- 23. Except as otherwise provided in the Settlement Agreement and this Order and the Judgment, the parties shall bear their own attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred by them in connection with this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5/6/22

By: The Hon. Suranne Rapios Bolanos

Judge of the Superior Court

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE (CCP §1010.6 & CRC 2.251)

I, Lyssette Bareng, a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am over the age of 18 years, employed in the City and County of San Francisco, California and am not a party to the within action.

On May 6, 2022, I electronically served the attached document via File & Serve*Xpress*TM on the recipients designated on the Transaction Receipt located on the File & Serve*Xpress*TM website.

Dated: May 6, 2022,

T. Michael Yuen, Clerk

Typesette Berei

Lyssette Bareng, Deputy Clerk